UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,488	05/11/2005	Yuichi Inada	59559.00020	7113	
32294 SOLUBE SAN	7590 01/22/2007 DERS & DEMPSEV I I F	EXAMINER			
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR			BODAWALA, DIMPLE N		
8000 TOWERS TYSONS COR	S CRESCENT NER, VA 22182		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1722		
CHARTENED STATUTAR	NA BEDIOD OF BEGDONES	MAIL DATE	DELIVED	V MODE	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 E	DAYS	01/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

···-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/534,488	INADA ET AL.				
	omee head out and a	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dimple N. Bodawala	1722	···			
Period fo	• •	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the triple and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed not this commuled (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	ay 2005.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			121/4\			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
-		,					
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	s have been received	_				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Burea			J -			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·	/ed.	•			
· · · ·							
			1				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	r atent Application	,			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 - 11, and 15, drawn to a mold for molding.

Group II, claim(s) 12, drawn to a molding method for molding an article.

Group III, claim(s) 13 – 14, and 16, drawn to a disc substrate.

The inventions listed as Groups I - III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 12 is either obvious or anticipated by Salleo et al. (U S Patent No. 7,114,448 B2), and claim 13 is either obvious or anticipated by Curtiss et al. (U S Patent No. 6,757,116 B1).

Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the three inventions, transferring the fine pattern, which is formed, on the stamper on to the molding material does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore, restriction is appropriate.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Goldhush, Douglas on December 28th, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1722

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dimple N. Bodawala whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 1722

272-6455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday at 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DNB

Page 5

OGENDRA N. GUPTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700